Borrelli & Associates

- P.L.L.C. —

www.employmentlawyernewyork.com

655 Third Avenue Suite 1821 New York, NY 10017 Tel. No. 212.679.5000 Fax No. 212.679.5005 910 Franklin Avenue Suite 200 Garden City, NY 11530 Tel. No. 516.248.5550 Fax No. 516.248.6027

August 3, 2020

Via ECF

The Honorable Steven Tiscione United States Magistrate Judge for the Eastern District of New York 225 Cadman Plaza East Brooklyn, New York 11201

Re: Nolan v. City of New York et al., Case No. 19-cv-00187-RPK-ST

Dear Judge Tiscione:

As Your Honor is aware, this office represents Plaintiff William R. Nolan in the above-referenced action brought against Defendants, the City of New York and various individuals, for alleged violations of Plaintiff's First, Second, and Fourth Amendment rights under the Constitution of the United States, via-a-vis the Fourteenth Amendment and 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Pursuant to the Court's Minute Order dated June 10, 2020, we write now - - with Defendants' consent - - to update the Court on the status of proceedings.

Since the Parties' last status report dated June 9, 2020 (ECF 30), Plaintiff's criminal prosecution stemming from his arrest on December 20, 2018, and his recent January 14, 2020 arrest, remains ongoing. The next appearance date in Plaintiff's criminal matter is September 17, 2020. *See People v. Nolan*, Ind. Nos. 00623/2018, 00225C/2020 (Crim. Ct., Richmond Cty).

Additionally, as we explained in our prior correspondence, Plaintiff attempted to re-register his motor vehicle with custom plates that indicate his membership in the Fraternal Order of Police Organization ("FOP"), but was informed by the New York State Department of Motor Vehicles ("NYDMV") Custom Plates Unit that the FOP notified it that Plaintiff no longer qualifies as an eligible member. This action was taken without Plaintiff's knowledge or a warning and Plaintiff has not been able to obtain a substantive explanation for this action from either the FOP or the NYDMV. Plaintiff reasonably believes, based upon his twenty years of experience in the NYPD and IAB, that this is the latest in a series of retaliatory actions taken against him.

Plaintiff continues to vehemently oppose the stay and what he believes - - based on his experience - - the continued retaliatory acts taken by the NYPD, and intends to renew his motion to lift the stay after the September 17, 2020 court appearance date in his criminal proceedings, if not before. Indeed, as previously explained, the stay is grossly contrary to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 1, which provides for the speedy administration of every action. He also, at the appropriate time, intends to amend his Complaint to assert additional constitutional claims flowing from his January 2020 arrest. For now, and for the reasons explained above and in our letters dated March 9th, April 9, and June 9, 2020, Plaintiff renews his request that the Court has overlooked to partially lift the stay to allow Plaintiff to serve a non-party subpoena on the FOP and the NYDMV to provide documentation related to the decision to strip Plaintiff of his eligibility for FOP custom plates in an effort to preserve evidence of possible additional retaliation taken against him.

Defendant City of New York requests that the stay remain in effect pending resolution of Plaintiff's criminal prosecution. The Parties respectfully request that the next joint status report be due by September 24, 2020.

We thank the Court for its attention to this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

For the Firm

All counsel of record (via ECF) To: